1 2 DEC 23 2005 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 CV05-2121 MTP LEE FAMILY INVESTMENTS, by and through) its Trustee W.B. Lee, Derivatively and on behalf) 8 of Nominal Defendant WASHINGTON 9 MUTUAL, INC., NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF Plaintiff, ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441 10 11 ٧. 12 KERRY K. KILLINGER, THOMAS W. CASEY, DEANNA W. OPPENHIEMER, ANNE V. FARRRELL, STEPHEN E. FRANK, 13. 05-CV-02121-CMP PHILLIP D. MATTHEWS, MICHAEL K. MUPRHY, MARGARET OSMER MCQUADE, 7 146 MARY E. PUGH, WILLIAM G. REED, JR., JAMES H. STEVER, WILLIS B. WOOD, JR., 15 16. Defendants. And 17 WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC., 18 Nominal Defendant. 19 20 TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 21 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON: 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, for the reasons set forth below, Defendants 23 Washington Mutual, Inc. ("Washington Mutual"), Anne V. Farrell, Stephen E. Frank, Davis Wright Tremaine LLP NOTICE OF REMOVAL (CV-05-) --- f LAW OFFICES SHA 1737180v1 13149-59

Phillip D. Matthews, Michael K. Murphy, Margaret Osmer McQuade, Mary E. Pugh, William G. Reed, Jr., James H. Stever, and Willis B. Wood, Jr. (collectively, "the Removing Defendants"), through their undersigned attorneys, file this Notice of Removal to remove this action from the Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for King County to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

In support of the Notice of Removal, the Removing Defendants state as follows:

BACKGROUND TO THE NOTICE OF REMOVAL

- 1. On November 29, 2005, plaintiff Lee Family Investments, by and through its trustee W. B. Lee ("Plaintiff") filed a complaint (the "Complaint" or "Compl.") in the Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for King County commencing the derivative action entitled *Lee Family Investments v. Kerry K. Killinger, et al.* (the "Action"). A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 2. Among other things, Plaintiff seeks relief in this Action under 15 U.S.C. § 7243. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks to compel reimbursement by Kerry K. Killinger, Thomas W. Casey, Deanna W. Oppenheimer, Anne V. Farrell, Stephen E. Frank, Phillip D. Matthews, Michael K. Murphy, Margaret Osmer McQuade, Mary E. Pugh, William G. Reed, Jr., James H. Stever, and Willis B. Wood, Jr. (the "Individual Defendants") "of all bonuses and other incentive-based or equity-based compensation paid to [them] as well as any profits realized from the sale of Washington Mutual Securities by them." (Exhibit A, Compl. ¶ 201.) Plaintiff also alleges as against the Individual Defendants various violations of state law relating to their duties as officers and directors of Washington Mutual. (Id. at ¶¶ 172-199.)

- 3. The federal statute under which Plaintiff seeks relicf, 15 U.S.C. § 7243, was enacted on July 30, 2002, as part of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Pub.L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 15 and 18 U.S.C.).
- 4. This matter is related to the case entitled South Ferry LP #2 v. Kerry K. Killinger, et al., CV04-1599C, currently pending in this Court before the Honorable John C. Coughenour (the "Securities Action"). Washington Mutual and several of the Individual Defendants are also defendants in the Securities Action. A true and correct copy of the docket sheet in the Securities Action is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1441

5. Actions that fall within the original jurisdiction of the United States district courts may be removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), which states in pertinent part:

Except as otherwise expressly provided by Act of Congress, any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending.

- 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). District courts have "original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States," 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which encompasses the claim brought here under 15 U.S.C. § 7243.
- 6. Additionally, 28 U.S.C. § 1367 gives district courts supplemental jurisdiction over "over all other claims that are so related to claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution." That jurisdiction encompasses all of the other claims brought in this Action, which are inextricably intertwined with the claim brought under 15 U.S.C. § 7243.

) -- 3

6

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

THE REMOVAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN SATISFIED

- 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), this Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty days of the commencement of the above Action and is, therefore, timely.
- 8. True and correct copies of all process, pleadings and orders served upon the Removing Defendants in the state court proceeding are being filed with this Court as Exhibits A and C.
- 9. Upon filing the Notice of Removal, the Removing Defendants will furnish written notice to Plaintiffs' counsel, and will file and serve a copy of this Notice with the Clerk of the King County Superior Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 10. No previous application has been made by defendants for this or similar relief.
- 11. The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington is the federal judicial district embracing the King County Superior Court, where the suit was originally filed, 28 U.S.C. § 128(b), and Seattle is the proper division or location for the matter. LCR 5(e)(1).
- 12. No defendant in this action other than the Removing Defendants has been served with process. Nevertheless, without waiving service of process, and for the avoidance of any doubt, each defendant named in the Complaint other than the Removing Defendants has expressly consented, in writing, to the removal of this Action. Letters indicating such consent are attached under Exhibit D.

WHEREFORE, Removing Defendants desiring to remove this Action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, hereby request that the filing of this Notice of Removal shall effect the removal of said civil action to this Court.

) - 4

23

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of December, 2005.

Of Counsel:

Jay B. Kasner Scott D. Musoff SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Times Square New York, New York 10036 (212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Defendant Washington Mutual, Inc.

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Attorneys for Defendant Washington Mutual, Inc.

By Muy-

Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA # 11168 2600 Century Square 1501 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-1688 Telephone: (206) 628-7755

Fax: (206) 903-3955

E-mail: steverummage@dwt.com

Perkins Coie LLP Attorneys for Defendants Farrell, Frank, Matthews, Murphy, McQuade, Pugh, Reed, Stever, and Wood

By Ronald L. Besenstain by Sm. Ronald L. Berenstain, WSBA # 7573

Ronald L. Berenstain, WSBA # 7573 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800 Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 359-8000

Fax: (206) 359-9000

E-mail: rberenstain@perkinscoic.com

per belighene auth.

İ

2

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of December, 2005, I caused to be hand served, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal of Action Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441 filed in connection with the above-referenced matter upon plaintiff's counsel of record at the following address:

> Jess G. Webster MIKKELBORG, BROZ, WELLS & FRYER, PLLC 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98154-1115

DATED this 23rd day of December, 2005.

Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA #11168